UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,788	08/17/2003	Chien-Kuo Kuan	17657.70A	1787
22913 Workman Nyde	7590 05/21/201 egger	0	EXAMINER	
1000 Eagle Gate Tower			LEE, CHEUKFAN	
60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,788	KUAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cheukfan Lee	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This      3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) 1-8,10-22 and 27-30 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 24-26 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 October 2009 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/10.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

1. Claims 1-8 and 10-30 are pending. Claims 1, 4, 7, 12, 17, 23, and 27 are independent.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (Japanese Publication No. JP 04-071158). The only available English version at the time of this Office action is the English abstract.

Regarding claim 23, Kobayashi et al. discloses a method for making an illuminating device, comprising providing a lamp (cold-cathode fluorescent lamp 4), providing a heating light source (LED 8) having a warm-up time period considerably less than that of the lamp (4), the heating light source (8) being configured to generate an amount of heat effective to shorten a warm-up time period of the lamp (see abstract). Although the abstract does not mention providing a timer and a controller as claimed, one of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that the heating light source would have to be controlled by either having the heating light source (8) on for a predetermined time period or leaving the heating light source (8) on until a certain temperature of or at the vicinity of the lamp (4) (or of the electrodes 4a thereof) reaches a predetermined temperature, to reduce power consumption or avoid overheating of the

Application/Control Number: 10/604,788 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

lamp (4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Kobayashi et al. with providing a

time and coupling a controller to the lamp (4), heating light source and timer as claimed

to turn off the heating light source (4) upon expiration of a predetermined time period to

reduce power consumption

4. Claims 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 1-8, 10-22 and 27-30 are allowed.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Kadowaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,812,900)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7407. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/604,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cheukfan Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625